

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,099	07/14/2003	Rebekka M. Wachter	REGEN1250-7	8511
75	90 01/09/2006	EXAMINER		
Lisa A. Haile,	J.D., Ph.D.	NASHED, NASHAAT T		
•	WARE & FREIDENRIC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1100		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
4365 Executive	Drive	1656		
San Diego, CA	92121-2133	DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/620),099	WACHTER ET AL	. .				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Nasha	at T. Nashed, Ph. D.	1656					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ideation. tory period will apply ar II, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICAT be event, however, may a reply be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f application to become ABANDO	ION. the timely filed from the mailing date of this of the CONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 07 Decembe	r 2005.						
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>143-146 and 148-153</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>143-146 and 148-153</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			il Date lal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,099

Art Unit: 1656

The application has been amended as requested in the communication filed December 7, 2005. Accordingly, claims 143, and 148-153 have been amended and claim 147 has been canceled.

Claims 143-146 and 148-153 are pending and under consideration.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 143-146 and 148-153 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 29-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,150,176 ('176) for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, mailed May 25, 2005.

Claims 143-153 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,780,975 ('975) for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, mailed May 25, 2005.

In response to the above rejections, applicants argue that the claimed species encompassed by the prior art genus is not sufficient by itself to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Applicants arguments filed 12/7/05 have been fully considered, but they are found unpersuasive. While applicant is correct in that a disclosed genus in the prior art is not sufficient by itself to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness of a specific species in a rejection under 35 USC 103, but the rejection is not under 35 USC 103 and no prior art cited in the rejections. The two patent cited in the above rejection are related to and have at least one common inventor with the instant application. Claim 1

Application/Control Number: 10/620,099

Art Unit: 1656

of the '176 patent claim the species of claim 1 of the instant application, i. e., mutant of SEQ ID NO: 2 in which His 148 is mutated to Gly or Gln, and further comprises mutation S65G, V68L, Q69K, S72A, T203Y and His231L. In obviousness double patenting rejection, the claims of a patent in light of its specification is compared to the instant claims. Clearly the embodiment of the instant claims are enabled and claimed in the '975 patent. Claim 1 of '975 patent is directed of mutation of T203 to H, Y, W or F and S65 to G, T, A, L, C, V or I. Claim 2 of the patent is directed to the specific species S65G, V68L, Q69K, S72A, and T203Y. Claim 4 of the patent is dependent on claim 1 and is directed to those mutants further comprising the mutation at H148. Thus, the specification of the '975 patent fully enable the claimed invention in the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 144-146 recite the limitation "at least one second substitution at position 148" in 143. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because claim 143 does not mention a second substitution.

Claim 144 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 148 is dependent on claim 143, which is limited to substitution mutation of His148 to Q or G. Claim 144 expands the scope of claim 143 to include mutation of H148 to R, A, N and K.

The claims are free of prior art.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,099

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0934. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen M. Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D.

Page 4

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656